

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/902,441	07/09/2001	Filip Arnaut	VANM225.001AUS	5510
20995	7590 10/22/2003		EXAMI	NER
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET			MARCANTONI, PAUL D	
•	TH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, C.	A 92614		1755	
			DATE MAILED: 10/22/2003	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		
	Application No. Applicant(s) APNAUT	
Office Action Summary	Examiner Paul Marcantoni Group Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address-	
Period for Response	>	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE	
from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) day If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by d	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHYS, a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered time default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ill, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	1.1.	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	18/03	
This action is FINAL.		
 Since this application is in condition for allowance excep accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19 	pt for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		
(S) 1-114 12-30	is/are pending in the application.	
i _ 11 •_		
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
Of the above claim(s) (24-28 + 30	is/are pending in the application. 14-1-6 is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed.	
Of the above claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
Claim(s) 13, 24-28, \pm 30 Claim(s) 12, 19-23, \pm 29	is/are allowed.	
Claim(s) $\frac{13}{29-28}, \pm 30$ Claim(s) $\frac{12}{19-23}, \pm 29$ Claim(s)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to.	
Claim(s) $\frac{13}{29-28}, \pm 30$ Claim(s) $\frac{12}{19-23}, \pm 29$ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
Claim(s) $\frac{13}{29-28}, \pm 30$ Claim(s) $\frac{12}{19-23}, \pm 29$ Claim(s)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election	
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ing Review, PTO-948.	
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ing Review, PTO-948.	
Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ing Review, PTO-948. is/are allowed.	
Claim(s)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ing Review, PTO-948. is/are allowed.	
Claim(s) 12, 19-23, +29 Claim(s) 12, 19-23, +29 Claim(s) 24-28, +30 Claim(s) 12, 19-23, +29 Claim(s) 25 Claim(s) 26 Claim(s) 27 Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objective.	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ing Review, PTO-948. is approved allowed. ected to by the Examiner.	
Claim(s)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ing Review, PTO-948. is approved allowed.	
Claim(s)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been	
Claim(s)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been siber) international Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).	
Claim(s)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been siber) international Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).	
Claim(s)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been siber) international Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).	
Claim(s)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been siber) international Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).	
Claim(s)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been siber) international Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).	

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

ì

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/902,441

Art Unit: 1755

Applicant's arguments filed 9/8/03 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

Allowed Claims:

Claims 13, 24-28, and 30 are allowed because the prior art does not claim a

powder (dry) of cement or mortar containing calcium tartrate particles having a mean

particle size of less than about 30 microns. All the prior art references would appear to

teach the presence of water or in solution. These claims have only been allowed

because they claim a powder only which means no water present. (If water was

present, it would have a pasty form and all other references add water).

Rejected Claims:

Claims 12,19-23, and 29 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Haldas et al., Yamagisi et al., Donnison et al., or Mallon.

All of the above cited references teach a composition comprising calcium tartrate

and cement or plaster. The references would not appear to teach the particle size less

than about 30 microns. However, control of particle size would appear to be an obvious

design choice for one of ordinary skill in the art unless applicants can show criticality. It

would appear that all calcium tartrate components of these references are finely divided

powders which would fall within applicants' claimed range.

Response:

The applicants argue that the examiner acknowledges that none of the

references explicitly teach a particle size of less than 30 microns. First, all of the added

calcium tartrate particles or powder are present in water in solution and thus would

Application/Control Number: 09/902,441

Art Unit: 1755

Ł

511, 5511.151 (tall),551. 55755<u>-</u>, 1

certainly have a particle size less than 30 microns. Chemicals and Salts of acids in solid form are also conventionally provided in the form of a powder and thus would be less than 30 microns. (Note that the salts can be in liquid form but the particles would still be present in the liquid or acid solution).

It is also noted that applicants have not shown criticality with respect to their particle size range. One of ordinary skill in the art would have understood that their would be greater particle interaction with a powder as opposed to large clumps or particles because it is notoriously known in the art that the smaller the particle size the greater the surface area and that would mean an increased reaction with other particles. Thus, control of particle size, especially use of particle sizes in the powder size range is old in the art and is also conventionally used in the art.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/902,441 Page 4

Art Unit: 1755

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Marcantoni whose telephone number is (703)-308-1196. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell can be reached on (703) 308-3823. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)-872-9310 for regular communications and (703)-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

Paul Marcantoni Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755